

1 Avi Burkwitz, Esq., Bar No.: 217225

ABurkwitz@pbblp.com

2 Diana G. Ratcliff, Bar No. 228302

DRatcliff@pbblp.com

3 **PETERSON · BRADFORD · BURKWITZ**

100 North First Street, Suite 300

4 Burbank, California 91502

818.562.5800

NOTE: CHANGES MADE BY THE COURT

5 Attorneys for Defendants,

6 ANGELA LEE and CATHY WEINGART

7
8
9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 GENE SIGAL, L.S., a minor. and S.S., a
12 minor. by and through their Guardian Ad
13 Litem,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 vs.

16 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, LILIA
17 MERIN, TASHA BEARD, DORIS
18 LEMIEUX, PRISCILLA ASHBURN,
19 ANGELA LEE, CATHY WEINGART,
20 TAMARA PONCIA, BILLETE
21 MARTIN. JAMES MARTIN, ROSA
22 FIGUEROA-VERSAGEIS. Does 1-50

23 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:17-CV-04851 RGK (AGRx)

Assigned to the Honorable: R. Gary
Klausner

Courtroom: Roybal, 850-8th Floor

**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
ANGELA LEE AND CATHY
WEINGART'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Trial: July 31, 2018
Complaint Filed: April 18, 2017

24 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

25 Whereas Defendants ANGELA LEE and CATHY WEINGART filed a
26 Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on all
27 remaining claims against them alleged in Plaintiffs GENE SIGAL, L.S. and S.S.'s
28 Complaint on June 4, 2018;

Whereas the aforementioned Motion was taken under submission ahead of the

**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT GRANTING DEFENDANTS ANGELA LEE
AND CATHY WEINGART'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Case No.: 2:17-CV-04851 RGK (AGRx)


1 hearing on July 9, 2018, by the Honorable R. Gary Klausner, United States District
2 Court Judge;

3 Whereas, the Court having read and considered the papers submitted both in
4 support of and in opposition to the Motion, and based upon the papers and pleadings
5 on file in this matter, granted Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment in full;

6 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that Defendants ANGELA
7 LEE and CATHY WEINGART have judgment entered in their favor forthwith, that
8 Plaintiffs GENE SIGAL, S.S. and L.S. take nothing, and that the action be
9 dismissed on the merits. It is further ordered and adjudged that Defendants recover
10 their costs.

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12
13 DATED: July 12, 2018



14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
HON. R. GARY KLAUSNER
United States District Court Judge

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 100 North First Street, Suite 300, Burbank, California 91502.

On May ___, 2018, I served the foregoing document described as:
**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT GRANTING DEFENDANTS ANGELA LEE
AND CATHY WEINGART'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
on interested parties in this action by placing a true and correct copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

SEE ATTACHED MAILING LIST

- ☒ **BY CM/ECF NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING:** I electronically filed document(s) with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system. Participants in this case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the CM/ECF system. Participants in this case who are not registered CM/ECF users will be served by mail or by other means permitted by the court rules.
- ☐ **BY MAIL:** I deposited such envelope in the mail at Burbank, California. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid. As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Burbank, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- ☐ **BY ELECTRONIC MAIL:** I served the enclosed via e-mail transmission to each of the parties listed on the attached service list with an email address.
- ☒ **FEDERAL:** I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on May ___, 2018 at Burbank, California.

Tyler DeVito

SERVICE LIST

RE: Sigal, Gene, et al. v. County of Los Angeles

Case No.:

Robert Powell, Esq.
Powell & Associates
925 West Hedding St.
San Jose, CA. 95126
T: 408-553-0200
F: 408-553-0203
E: rpowell@rassociates.com
**Attorney for Plaintiffs,
Gene Sigal, L.S. and S.S.**

Nigel Burns, Esq. (202576)
Brandon L. Wyman, Esq. (279171)
THE LAW OFFICES OF NIGEL BURNS
800 West 1st Street, Suite #401-12
Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 687-8080
Facsimile: (213) 687-8383
bwyman@burnsattorneys.com
**Attorneys for Defendants,
TAMARA PONCIA and BILLETE MARTIN**

Rex S. Heinke (SBN 066163)
Jessica M. Weisel (SBN 174809)
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 600
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: 310-229-1000
Facsimile: 310-229-1001
rheinke@akingump.com
jweisel@akingump.com
**Attorneys for Defendant,
Rosa Figueroa-Versage**

PETERSON · BRADFORD · BURKWITZ
100 North First Street, Suite 300
Burbank, California 91502
818.562.5800

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28